

ISOMORPHIC CLASSIFICATION OF ATOMIC WEAK L^p SPACES

DENNY H. LEUNG

ABSTRACT. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space and let $1 < p < \infty$. The *weak* L^p space $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ consists of all measurable functions f such that

$$\|f\| = \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) < \infty,$$

where f^* is the decreasing rearrangement of $|f|$. It is a Banach space under a norm which is equivalent to the expression above. In this paper, we pursue the problem of classifying weak L^p spaces isomorphically when (Ω, Σ, μ) is purely atomic. It is also shown that if (Ω, Σ, μ) is a countably generated σ -finite measure space, then $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ (if infinite dimensional) must be isomorphic to either ℓ^∞ or $\ell^{p,\infty}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a series of papers [1, 2, 3], the author studied the isomorphic structures of the weak L^p spaces defined on the measure spaces $\mathbb{N}$, $[0, 1]$, and $[0, \infty)$. In this paper, we extend the scope of this study to encompass all $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ spaces where (Ω, Σ, μ) is purely atomic or countably generated and σ -finite. The main results obtained are:

1. The isomorphic classification of atomic weak L^p spaces,
2. The fact that an infinite dimensional space $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$, where (Ω, Σ, μ) is countably generated and σ -finite, is isomorphic to either ℓ^∞ or $\ell^{p,\infty}$.

Let us recall some standard definitions and set the notation. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be an arbitrary measure space. For $1 < p < \infty$, the *weak* L^p space $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is the space of all Σ -measurable functions f such that

$$\|f\| = \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{p}} f^*(t) < \infty,$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B03, 46E30.

where f^* denotes the decreasing rearrangement of $|f|$ [5]. One checks easily that

$$\|f\| = \sup_{c>0} c(\mu\{|f| > c\})^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (1)$$

and the latter expression is more convenient for computations. It is well known that $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to a norm under which $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a Banach space. If (Ω, Σ, μ) is a real interval I endowed with Lebesgue measure, we write $L^{p,\infty}(I)$; while $\ell^{p,\infty}$ and $\ell^{p,\infty}(m)$ will stand for the weak L^p spaces on $\mathbb{N}$ and $\{1, \dots, m\}$ respectively, both with the counting measure.

The other notation follows mainly that of [4, 5]. If E and F are Banach spaces, we write $E \sim F$ to indicate that they are isomorphic (= linearly homeomorphic). Then $E \hookrightarrow F$ (respectively, $E \xhookrightarrow{c} F$) means that E is isomorphic to a subspace (repectively, complemented subspace) of F . For an arbitrary set A , we write $|A|$ for the cardinality of A , and $\mathcal{P}(A)$ for the power set of A . A measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) is said to be *countably generated* if there exists a sequence $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in Σ such that the smallest σ -algebra generated by the sets $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and the μ -null sets is Σ . We will also have occasion to use terms and notation concerning vector lattices, for which the references are [5, 8]. In particular, two elements a, b of a vector lattice are said to be *disjoint* if $|a| \wedge |b| = 0$. A Banach lattice E satisfies an *upper p -estimate* if there is a constant $M < \infty$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| \leq M \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

whenever $(x_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a pairwise disjoint sequence in E . Using the expression (1), it is trivial to check that every space $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ satisfies an upper p -estimate.

At this point, let us make some simple but useful observations. Let Γ be an arbitrary set, and let $w : \Gamma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be an arbitrary function. One can define a measure μ on the power set of Γ by $\mu(A) = \sum_{\gamma \in A} w(\gamma)$ for all $A \subseteq \Gamma$. The resulting space $L^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, \mathcal{P}(\Gamma), \mu)$ will be written as $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$. If w is identically 1, we abbreviate it further to $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma)$. It is clear that if (Ω, Σ, μ) is purely atomic, then $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is (linearly) isometric to $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ for some pair (Γ, w) . The next observation further restricts the class of weight functions w which have to be considered.

Proposition 1. *Let Γ be an arbitrary set, and let $w_1, w_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be such that $w_1(\gamma) \leq w_2(\gamma) \leq 2w_1(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w_1)$*

and $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w_2)$ consist of the same functions, and are isomorphic via the formal identity.

It follows that it suffices to consider only weight functions w which take values in the set $\{2^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Such a w will be called a *modified weight function* in the sequel. Another important ingredient, used already in [3], is Pelczynski's "decomposition method" (see [9, Proposition 21.5.12] and [4, p. 54]). The *square* of a Banach space E is the Banach space $E \oplus E$.

Theorem 2. *Let E, F be Banach spaces such that each is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of the other. Then they are isomorphic provided one of the following conditions hold.*

1. *Both E and F are isomorphic to their squares,*
2. *E is isomorphic to $(\sum \oplus E)_{\ell^\infty}$.*

2. COUNTABLY MANY ATOMS

In this section, we show that if Γ is countable, then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ is isomorphic to $\ell^{p,\infty}$ or ℓ^∞ . Together with the results in [3], this yields the isomorphic classification of $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ for all countably generated measure spaces (Ω, Σ, μ) .

Proposition 3. *Let $Y = (\sum \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(n))_{\ell^\infty}$. Then $\ell^{p,\infty} \sim Y$.*

Proof. Let $X = (\sum \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(2^n))_{\ell^\infty}$. It is known that

$$\ell^{p,\infty} \sim L^{p,\infty}[0, \infty) \xhookrightarrow{c} X \xhookrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}. \quad (2)$$

(See §2 of [3], where X is called X_1 .) Therefore,

$$(\sum \oplus \ell^{p,\infty})_{\ell^\infty} \xhookrightarrow{c} (\sum \oplus X)_{\ell^\infty} \xhookrightarrow{c} X \xhookrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}.$$

Clearly $\ell^{p,\infty} \xhookrightarrow{c} (\sum \oplus \ell^{p,\infty})_{\ell^\infty}$ also. Thus $\ell^{p,\infty} \sim (\sum \oplus \ell^{p,\infty})_{\ell^\infty}$ by Theorem 2. It follows immediately that $Y \xhookrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}$. Using (2) again, we see that $\ell^{p,\infty} \xhookrightarrow{c} X \xhookrightarrow{c} Y$. Another application of Theorem 2 yields the desired result. $\square$

The classification problem in the case of countably many atoms turns out to hinge on two special cases. We now describe the first case.

Proposition 4. *Let $D = \{(i, j) : i, j \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq j\}$, and let (b_i) be a strictly positive real sequence. Define $\alpha : D \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by $\alpha(i, j) = b_i$. If either $(i+1)b_{i+1} \leq b_i$ for all i , or $b_{i+1} \geq (i+1)b_i$ for all i , then $\ell^{p,\infty}(D, \alpha) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3, it suffices to show that $\ell^{p,\infty}(D, \alpha)$ is isomorphic to Y . Let $x \in \ell^{p,\infty}(D, \alpha)$. For each $(i, j) \in D$, let $y_i(j) = b_i^{\frac{1}{p}} x(i, j)$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, it is easy to see that $y_i = (y_i(1), \dots, y_i(i))$ is an element in $\ell^{p,\infty}(n)$ of norm $\leq \|x\|$. Hence the map $T : \ell^{p,\infty}(D, \alpha) \rightarrow Y$, $Tx = (y_i)$, is a bounded linear map. The proof will be complete if we can show that T is surjective. Let $y = (y_i) \in Y$, and let $x : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $x(i, j) = b_i^{-\frac{1}{p}} y_i(j)$. If $\|x\| > 1$, there are a $c > 0$ and a finite subset B of $\{(i, j) : |x(i, j)| > c\}$ such that $c\mu(B)^{\frac{1}{p}} > 1$, where μ is the measure on D associated with the weight function α . Let $B_i = B \cap \{(i, j) : 1 \leq j \leq i\}$. Choose $i_1 \leq i_2$ such that $B_{i_1}, B_{i_2} \neq \emptyset$, and $B_i = \emptyset$ if $i < i_1$ or $i > i_2$. Then, noting the fact that $|B_i| \leq i$,

$$\mu(B) = \sum_{i=i_1}^{i_2} b_i |B_i| \leq \begin{cases} 3b_{i_1} |B_{i_1}| & \text{if } (i+1)b_{i+1} \leq b_i \text{ for all } i \\ 3b_{i_2} |B_{i_2}| & b_{i+1} \geq b_i(i+1) \text{ for all } i. \end{cases}$$

In either case, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(B) \leq 3b_k |B_k|$. Thus $c(3b_k |B_k|)^{\frac{1}{p}} > 1$. Therefore,

$$cb_k^{\frac{1}{p}} |\{1 \leq j \leq k : |y_k(j)| > cb_k^{\frac{1}{p}}\}|^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq cb_k^{\frac{1}{p}} |B_k|^{\frac{1}{p}} > 3^{-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Hence $\|y\| > 3^{-\frac{1}{p}}$. It follows that $x \in \ell^{p,\infty}(D, \alpha)$ whenever $y \in Y$. As $Tx = y$, T is surjective, as required. $\square$

The second special case is the following.

Proposition 5. Define $\sigma : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by $\sigma(n) = 2^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{Z}, \sigma) \sim \ell^\infty$.

Proof. We claim that the map $T : \ell^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{Z}, \sigma) \rightarrow \ell^\infty$, $T((a_n)) = (2^{\frac{n}{p}} a_n)$, is an (onto) isomorphism. We continue to denote the norm on $\ell^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{Z}, \sigma)$ by $\|\cdot\|$, while the norm on ℓ^∞ will be written as $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Also, we let μ be the measure associated with the weight function σ . Let (a_n) be a normalized element in $\ell^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{Z}, \sigma)$. For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_j \neq 0$, and any positive $\epsilon < |a_j|$,

$$2^j = \mu\{j\} \leq \mu\{n : |a_n| > |a_j| - \epsilon\} \leq (|a_j| - \epsilon)^{-p}.$$

Upon taking $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, we see that $|a_j| 2^{\frac{j}{p}} \leq 1$. Therefore, T is bounded. Conversely, assume that $c = (c_n) \in \ell^\infty$. For any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\mu\{n : \frac{|c_n|}{2^{\frac{n}{p}}} > \epsilon\} \leq \mu\{n : \frac{\|c\|_\infty^p}{\epsilon^p} > 2^n\} = 2^{k+1},$$

where k is the largest integer such that $2^k < \|c\|_\infty^p / \epsilon^p$. Hence

$$\epsilon(\mu\{n : \frac{|c_n|}{2^{\frac{n}{p}}} > \epsilon\})^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \epsilon 2^{\frac{k+1}{p}} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} \|c\|_\infty.$$

Therefore, $(2^{-\frac{n}{p}}c_n) \in \ell^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{Z}, \sigma)$. Since $T((2^{-\frac{n}{p}}c_n)) = (c_n)$, T is onto. $\square$

We are now ready for the main result of this section.

Theorem 6. *Let Γ be countably infinite, and let w be a modified weight function on Γ . Let $\Gamma_n = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : w(\gamma) = 2^n\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

1. $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}$ if and only if $\sup_n |\Gamma_n| = \infty$,
2. $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \sim \ell^\infty$ if and only if $\sup_n |\Gamma_n| < \infty$.

Proof. Since Γ is countable, there is a collection $(A_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of pairwise disjoint Lebesgue measurable subsets of $[0, \infty)$ such that $\lambda(A_\gamma) = w(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, where λ is Lebesgue measure. Let Σ' be the σ -algebra generated by the sets (A_γ) . Clearly, $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \sim L^{p,\infty}(\lambda|_{\Sigma'})$, and the latter is complemented in $L^{p,\infty}[0, \infty)$ by a conditional expectation operator [5, Theorem 2.a.4]. Since $L^{p,\infty}[0, \infty) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}$ [3], $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \xhookrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}$.

Now assume that $\sup_n |\Gamma_n| = \infty$. We claim that $\ell^{p,\infty} \xhookrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$. If $|\Gamma_n| = \infty$ for some n , then obviously $\ell^{p,\infty} \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_n, w|_{\Gamma_n}) \xhookrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$. If $|\Gamma_n|$ is finite for all n , choose a sequence $(n_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $|\Gamma_{n_i}| \geq i$ for all i , and either $2^{n_{i+1}} > (i+1)2^{n_i}$ for all i , or $(i+1)2^{n_{i+1}} < 2^{n_i}$ for all i . Taking b_i in Proposition 4 to be 2^{n_i} , we see that

$$\ell^{p,\infty} \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(D, \alpha) \xhookrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}\left(\bigcup_i \Gamma_{n_i}, w'\right) \xhookrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w),$$

where w' is the restriction of w to $\bigcup_i \Gamma_{n_i}$. Thus the claim is proved. In the proof of Proposition 3, it was observed that $\ell^{p,\infty} \sim (\sum \oplus \ell^{p,\infty})_{\ell^\infty}$. Hence we may apply Theorem 2 to conclude that $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ is isomorphic to $\ell^{p,\infty}$.

Next, consider the case when $\sup_n |\Gamma_n| < \infty$. Then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \xhookrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{Z}, \sigma) \oplus \dots \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{Z}, \sigma)$, where the direct sum consists of a finite number of copies of $\ell^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{Z}, \sigma)$. By Proposition 5,

$$\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \xhookrightarrow{c} \ell^\infty \oplus \dots \oplus \ell^\infty \sim \ell^\infty.$$

Since it is easy to see that $\ell^\infty \xhookrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$, $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \sim \ell^\infty$ by Theorem 2. The converses follow by simply observing that the spaces $\ell^{p,\infty}$ and ℓ^∞ are not isomorphic, since, for instance, the latter has the Dunford-Pettis property while the former fails it. $\square$

For the next theorem, we recall the following fact: if $(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are measure isomorphic measure spaces, then $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are isometric [6]. This follows easily from the observation that the set of functions $f \in L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ of the form

$$f = \bigvee_n a_n \chi_{A_n},$$

where $(a_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, and (A_n) is a pairwise disjoint sequence in Σ , is dense in $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$.

Theorem 7. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a countably generated σ -finite measure space. Then $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ (if infinite dimensional) is isomorphic to $\ell^{p,\infty}$ or ℓ^∞ .*

Proof. Choose a sequence (Ω_n) of pairwise disjoint Σ -measurable sets of finite positive measure such that $\Omega = \cup \Omega_n$. Let $(\Omega_n, \Sigma_n, \mu_n)$ be the restriction of the measure μ to Ω_n . By a theorem of Carathéodory [7, Theorem 15.2.2], each $(\Omega_n, \Sigma_n, \mu_n)$ is measure isomorphic to a measure subalgebra of the measure space $[0, \mu(\Omega_n))$ with Lebesgue measure [7]. It follows readily that (Ω, Σ, μ) is measure isomorphic to a measure subalgebra of $[0, \infty)$. By [5, Theorem 2.a.4] and the main result in [3],

$$L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) \xhookrightarrow{c} L^{p,\infty}[0, \infty) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}.$$

For the converse, we may assume by the above argument that (Ω, Σ, μ) is a measure subalgebra of $[0, \infty)$. In particular, this ensures the boundedness of conditional expectation operators. Now if (Ω, Σ, μ) is purely atomic, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, (Ω, Σ, μ) has a measure subalgebra which is measure isomorphic to the measure space $[0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure. Hence $\ell^{p,\infty} \sim L^{p,\infty}[0, 1] \xhookrightarrow{c} L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. We may now apply Theorem 2 to conclude the proof. $\square$

Remark. The σ -finiteness condition in Theorem 7 cannot be removed, as the following example shows. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be the space $\{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with the usual product σ -algebra, and the counting measure restricted to this σ -algebra. Then it is a countably generated measure space such that $L^{p,\infty}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ is isometric to $\ell^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

We conclude this section with a result which verifies one of the conditions for applying Theorem 2. This is useful in the next section as it can be shown that $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ is never isomorphic to $(\sum \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w))_{\ell^\infty}$ when Γ is uncountable.

Proposition 8. *Let Γ be an arbitrary infinite set, and let $w : \Gamma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$. Then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ is isomorphic to its square.*

Proof. It may be assumed that w is a modified weight function. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\Gamma_n = w^{-1}\{2^n\}$. Let $N = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \Gamma_n \text{ is infinite}\}$, and $M = \mathbb{Z} \setminus N$. Let $\Gamma' = \cup_{n \in N} \Gamma_n$ and $\Gamma'' = \cup_{n \in M} \Gamma_n$. Clearly, $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma', w|_{\Gamma'})$ is isomorphic to its square. If M is finite, then it is easy to see that $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma', w|_{\Gamma'})$, and the proof is finished. If M is infinite,

$\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma'', w|_{\Gamma''}) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}$ or ℓ^∞ by Theorem 6, and these spaces are isomorphic to their squares. Hence $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma', w|_{\Gamma'}) \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma'', w|_{\Gamma''})$ is also isomorphic to its square. $\square$

3. THE UNCOUNTABLE CASE. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we present some preliminary results concerning the isomorphic classification of $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ spaces when Γ is uncountable. Essentially, isomorphically distinct $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ spaces are distinguishable by counting arguments. The basic philosophy is that, first of all, the larger the cardinality of the set Γ , the “bigger” is the resulting space. Secondly, small atoms give rise to bigger spaces than large atoms, since small atoms may be “glued together” to form larger atoms. The precise formulation of the counting argument used is given below.

Proposition 9. *Let Γ be an arbitrary set, and let w be a weight function on Γ . Let $A \subseteq \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ and $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma$ be such that $|A| > \max\{|\Gamma_1|, \aleph_0\}$. Suppose also that there are constants $K < \infty$, $r > 1$ such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{x \in F} \epsilon_x x \right\| \leq K |F|^{\frac{1}{r}} \quad (3)$$

for all finite subsets F of A , and all $\epsilon_x = \pm 1$. Then there exists $A_1 \subseteq A$ such that $|A_1| = |A|$, and $x\chi_{\Gamma_1} = 0$ for all $x \in A_1$.

Proof. Let $A_1 = \{x \in A : x\chi_{\Gamma_1} = 0\}$. For each $x \in A \setminus A_1$, there is a $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$ such that $x(\gamma) \neq 0$. Define a choice function $f : A \setminus A_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ such that $x(f(x)) \neq 0$ for all $x \in A \setminus A_1$. If $|A_1| < |A|$, then $|A \setminus A_1| = |A| > \aleph_0$. Hence there exist $C \subseteq A \setminus A_1$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|C| = |A \setminus A_1| = |A|$, and $|x(f(x))| \geq 1/n$ for all $x \in C$. Now $|C| = |A| > |\Gamma_1| \geq |f(C)|$. Therefore, there is a $\gamma_0 \in f(C)$ such that $D = f^{-1}\{\gamma_0\} \cap C$ is infinite. Note that $x \in D$ implies $|x(\gamma_0)| \geq 1/n$. Now for any finite subset F of D ,

$$\left\| \sum_{x \in F} \operatorname{sgn} x(\gamma_0) x \right\| \geq \sum_{x \in F} |x(\gamma_0)| \|\chi_{\{\gamma_0\}}\| \geq \frac{|F|}{n} w(\gamma_0)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

As D is infinite, this violates condition (3). $\square$

Proposition 10. *Let w be a weight function on a set Γ . Let $A, B \subseteq \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ be such that $|A| > \max\{|B|, \aleph_0\}$. Suppose also that there are constants $K < \infty$, $r > 1$ such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{x \in F} \epsilon_x x \right\| \leq K |F|^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

for all finite subsets F of A , and all $\epsilon_x = \pm 1$. Then there exists $C \subseteq A$, $|C| = |A|$, such that the elements of C are pairwise disjoint, and $|b| \wedge |c| = 0$ whenever $b \in B$, $c \in C$.

Proof. For each $x \in \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$, let $\text{supp } x = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : x(\gamma) \neq 0\}$. Clearly $|\text{supp } x| \leq \aleph_0$. Therefore, $|\bigcup_{x \in B} \text{supp } x| \leq \max\{|B|, \aleph_0\} < |A|$. Let $\Gamma_1 = \bigcup_{x \in B} \text{supp } x$. By Proposition 9, there is a subset A_1 of A , having the same cardinality as A , such that $x\chi_{\Gamma_1} = 0$ for all $x \in A_1$. It remains to choose a pairwise disjoint subset of A_1 of cardinality $|A|$. This will be done by induction. Choose x_0 arbitrarily in A_1 . Now suppose a pairwise disjoint collection $(x_\rho)_{\rho < \beta}$ has been chosen up to some ordinal $\beta < |A| = |A_1|$. Since $|A|$ is a cardinal, $|\beta| < |A|$. Hence $|\bigcup_{\rho < \beta} \text{supp } x_\rho| \leq \max\{|\beta|, \aleph_0\} < |A|$. Let $\Gamma_2 = \bigcup_{\rho < \beta} \text{supp } x_\rho$. Using Proposition 9 again, we find a $x_\beta \in A_1$ such that $x_\beta\chi_{\Gamma_2} = 0$. It is clear that the collection $(x_\rho)_{\rho \leq \beta}$ is pairwise disjoint. This completes the inductive argument. Consequently, we obtain a pairwise disjoint collection $C = (x_\rho)_{\rho < |A|}$ in A_1 . As each $x \in C$ is disjoint from each $b \in B$ by the first part, the proof is complete. $\square$

We first apply Proposition 10 to distinguish $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ spaces according to the values of p and $|\Gamma|$.

Theorem 11. *Let $(\Gamma_i, w_i), i = 1, 2$ be arbitrary, and let $1 < p, r < \infty$. If $|\Gamma_1| \neq |\Gamma_2|$, then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_1, w_1) \not\sim \ell^{r,\infty}(\Gamma_2, w_2)$.*

Proof. If at least one of Γ_1, Γ_2 is finite, then the assertion is clear. Thus we may as well assume that $|\Gamma_1| > |\Gamma_2| \geq \aleph_0$. Suppose $T : \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_1, w_1) \rightarrow \ell^{r,\infty}(\Gamma_2, w_2)$ is an embedding. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$, let $e_\gamma = \chi_{\{\gamma\}}$. Define $x_\gamma = Te_\gamma / \|e_\gamma\|$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_1$. Let $A = \{x_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma_1\}$. Then $|A| > \aleph_0$. Also, $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_1, w_1)$ satisfies an upper p -estimate. Therefore, there is a constant $K < \infty$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in F} \epsilon_\gamma \frac{e_\gamma}{\|e_\gamma\|} \right\| \leq K|F|^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all finite $F \subseteq \Gamma_1$, and all $\epsilon_\gamma = \pm 1$. Consequently,

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in F} \epsilon_\gamma x_\gamma \right\| \leq K\|T\|\|F|^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Applying Proposition 10 with $B = \emptyset$, we obtain a set $C \subseteq A$, $|C| = |A|$, such that the elements of C are pairwise disjoint. Thus $|C| > |\Gamma_2|$, and C is a subset of $\ell^{r,\infty}(\Gamma_2, w_2)$ consisting of pairwise disjoint non-zero elements, which is clearly impossible. $\square$

Theorem 12. *Let Γ be an arbitrary uncountable set, and let $1 < p \neq r < \infty$. Then for any $w_i : \Gamma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $i = 1, 2$, $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w_1) \not\hookrightarrow \ell^{r,\infty}(\Gamma, w_2)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $p > r$. Suppose that $\ell^{r,\infty}(\Gamma, w_2) \hookrightarrow \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w_1)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\Gamma_n = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : 2^n \leq w_2(\gamma) < 2^{n+1}\}$. Then there is a n_0 such that Γ_{n_0} is uncountable. Also, by Proposition 1,

$$\ell^{r,\infty}(\Gamma_{n_0}) \hookrightarrow \ell^{r,\infty}(\Gamma_{n_0}, w_2|_{\Gamma_{n_0}}) \hookrightarrow \ell^{r,\infty}(\Gamma, w_2) \hookrightarrow \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w_1).$$

Now, let $T : \ell^{r,\infty}(\Gamma_{n_0}) \rightarrow \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w_1)$ be an embedding, and let $A = \{T\chi_{\{\gamma\}} : \gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0}\}$. By Proposition 10, there is a set $C \subseteq A$, $|C| = |A| = |\Gamma_{n_0}|$, such that the elements of C are pairwise disjoint. Since T is an embedding, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in F} T\chi_{\{\gamma\}} \right\| \geq \epsilon |F|^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

for all finite sets F such that $\{\chi_{\{\gamma\}} : \gamma \in F\} \subseteq C$. But this violates the fact that $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w_1)$ satisfies an upper p -estimate. $\square$

Before continuing, let us establish some more notation. For the remainder of the paper, we fix a p , $1 < p < \infty$. Let $(\Gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be an arbitrary collection of pairwise disjoint sets, and define $w : \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \Gamma_n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by $w(\gamma) = 2^n$ if $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$. The resulting space $\ell^{p,\infty}(\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \Gamma_n, w)$ will be denoted by $E(\Gamma_n)$. Similarly, we let $F(\Gamma)$ be the space $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma \times \mathbb{N}, w)$, where Γ is an arbitrary set, and $w(\gamma, n) = 2^{-n}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 13. *Let $(\Gamma_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be pairwise disjoint sets such that $\max\{|\Gamma_n|, \aleph_0\} < \sup_m |\Gamma_m|$ for all $n \geq 0$. Then there exists a sequence $(\Pi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ of pairwise disjoint sets such that $|\Pi_n| \leq |\Pi_{n+1}|$, $\max\{|\Pi_n|, \aleph_0\} < \sup_m |\Pi_m| = \sup_m |\Gamma_m|$ for all $n \geq 0$, and $E(\Gamma_n) \sim E(\Pi_n)$.*

Proof. From the assumption, we see that for all $n \geq 0$, there is a $m > n$ such that $|\Gamma_m| > |\Gamma_n|$. Let $n_0 = \min\{n \geq 0 : |\Gamma_n| \geq \aleph_0\}$. Inductively, let $n_k = \min\{n > n_{k-1} : |\Gamma_n| > |\Gamma_{n_{k-1}}|\}$ for $k \geq 1$. Now define

$$\Pi_k = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{n_0} \times \{k\} & \text{if } 0 \leq k < n_1 \\ \Gamma_{n_j} \times \{k\} & n_j \leq k < n_{j+1}, j \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $(\Pi_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is a pairwise disjoint sequence of sets of non-decreasing cardinalities such that

$$\max\{|\Pi_n|, \aleph_0\} < \sup_m |\Pi_m| = \sup_m |\Gamma_m|$$

for all k . Since $|\Gamma_n| \leq |\Pi_n|$ for all n , it is clear that $E(\Gamma_n) \hookrightarrow E(\Pi_n)$. On the other hand, as Γ_{n_j} is infinite for all j , one can choose a sequence

of pairwise disjoint sets $(\Delta_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ so that

$$|\Delta_n| = |\Gamma_n| \quad \text{for all } n,$$

$$\Delta_{n_0} = \Gamma_{n_0} \times \{0, \dots, n_1 - 1\} \times \{1, \dots, 2^{n_1 - n_0 - 1}\},$$

and

$$\Delta_{n_k} = \Gamma_{n_k} \times \{n_k, \dots, n_{k+1} - 1\} \times \{1, \dots, 2^{n_{k+1} - n_k - 1}\}$$

for $k \geq 0$. The first condition assures that $E(\Gamma_n)$ and $E(\Delta_n)$ are isometric. Define a map $s : \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \Pi_n \rightarrow \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty \mathcal{P}(\Delta_{n_k})$ as follows. For $\pi \in \Pi_n, 0 \leq n < n_0$, $\pi = (\gamma, n)$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0}$. In this case, let $s(\pi) = \{(\gamma, n, 1)\}$. For $\pi \in \Pi_n, n_k \leq n < n_{k+1} - 1, k \geq 0$, $\pi = (\gamma, n)$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_k}$. Then we let $s(\pi) = \{(\gamma, n, l) : 1 \leq l \leq 2^{n - n_k}\}$. For $x \in E(\Pi_n)$, let $Tx = \bigvee_{n=0}^\infty \bigvee_{\pi \in \Pi_n} x(\pi) \chi_{s(\pi)}$. We claim that $Tx \in E(\Delta_n)$. Indeed, let μ and ν be the measures associated with the spaces $E(\Pi_n)$ and $E(\Delta_n)$ respectively. Then for any $c > 0$,

$$\mu\{|x| > c\} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^n |\{\pi \in \Pi_n : |x(\pi)| > c\}|.$$

While

$$\begin{aligned} \nu\{|Tx| > c\} &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{\{\pi \in \Pi_n : |x(\pi)| > c\}} \nu(s(\pi)) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{n_0-1} \sum_{\{\pi \in \Pi_n : |x(\pi)| > c\}} \nu(s(\pi)) + \sum_{n=n_0}^\infty \sum_{\{\pi \in \Pi_n : |x(\pi)| > c\}} \nu(s(\pi)) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{n_0-1} \sum_{\{\pi \in \Pi_n : |x(\pi)| > c\}} 2^{n_0} + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{n=n_k}^{n_{k+1}-1} \sum_{\{\pi \in \Pi_n : |x(\pi)| > c\}} 2^{n-n_k} 2^{n_k} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{n_0-1} 2^{n_0} |\{\pi \in \Pi_n : |x(\pi)| > c\}| + \sum_{n=n_0}^\infty 2^n |\{\pi \in \Pi_n : |x(\pi)| > c\}|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\mu\{|x| > c\} \leq \nu\{|Tx| > c\} \leq 2^{n_0} \mu\{|x| > c\}.$$

Thus, not only does Tx belong to $E(\Delta_n)$, T is also an isomorphic embedding. Since $T(E(\Pi_n))$ is easily seen to be complemented in $E(\Delta_n)$ by the conditional expectation with respect to the σ -algebra generated by the sets $s(\pi)$, we get that $E(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow{c} E(\Delta_n) \sim E(\Gamma_n)$. Recalling Proposition 8, we apply Theorem 2 to finish the proof. $\square$

We introduce one more class of spaces which is the analog of the class $E(\Gamma_n)$ for small atoms. Let $(\Gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets, and let $w : \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Gamma_n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be the weight function $w(\gamma) = 2^{-n}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$. The space $\ell^{p,\infty}(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Gamma_n, w)$ is denoted by $G(\Gamma_n)$. It will be

shown presently, however, that this class is isomorphically the same as the class $F(\Gamma)$ introduced earlier. The proof of the following lemma is essentially the same as that of Lemma 13. For a sequence of cardinals $(\mathfrak{m}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, we let $\limsup_n \mathfrak{m}_n = \min_{n \geq 1} \sup_{k \geq n} \mathfrak{m}_k$.

Lemma 14. *Let $(\Gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets such that $\sup_m |\Gamma_m| = \limsup_m |\Gamma_m| > \aleph_0$. Then there exists a sequence $(\Pi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of pairwise disjoint sets such that $|\Pi_n| \leq |\Pi_{n+1}|$ for all $n \geq 1$, $\sup_m |\Pi_m| = \sup_m |\Gamma_m|$, and $G(\Gamma_n) \sim G(\Pi_n)$.*

Proposition 15. *Let $(\Gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets of non-decreasing cardinalities such that $\sup_m |\Gamma_m| > \aleph_0$. Then $G(\Gamma_n) \sim F(\Gamma)$, where $\Gamma = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Gamma_n$.*

Proof. Since $F(\Gamma)$ is nothing but the space $G(\Gamma'_n)$ where each $|\Gamma'_n| = |\Gamma|$, we clearly have $G(\Gamma_n) \xrightarrow{c} F(\Gamma)$. Choose n_0 such that Γ_{n_0} is infinite. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\Pi_n = \left(\bigcup_{k=n_0+n-1}^\infty \Gamma_k \right) \times \{n\}.$$

Note that $|\Pi_n| = |\Gamma|$ for all n . Hence $F(\Gamma) \sim G(\Pi_n)$. Now let $\Delta_n = \Gamma_n$ for $n < n_0$, and

$$\Delta_n = \Gamma_n \times \{1, \dots, n - n_0 + 1\} \times \{1, \dots, 2^{n-n_0}\}$$

for $n \geq n_0$. Then $|\Delta_n| = |\Gamma_n|$ for all n . Thus $G(\Delta_n) \sim G(\Gamma_n)$. We claim that $G(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow{c} G(\Delta_n)$. To this end, define the subset $s(\gamma, n)$ of Δ_k by

$$s(\gamma, n) = \{(\gamma, n, l) : 1 \leq l \leq 2^{k-n-n_0+1}\}$$

whenever $(\gamma, n) \in \Gamma_k \times \{n\}$ for some $n \geq 1$, and $k \geq n_0 + n - 1$. Then, for $x \in G(\Pi_n)$, let

$$Tx = \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty \bigvee_{k=n_0+n-1}^\infty \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k} x(\gamma, n) \chi_{s(\gamma, n)}.$$

If μ and ν are the measures associated with the spaces $G(\Pi_n)$ and $G(\Delta_n)$ respectively, and $c > 0$, then

$$\mu\{|x| > c\} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \sum_{k=n_0+n-1}^\infty 2^{-n} |\{(\gamma, n) : \gamma \in \Gamma_k, |x(\gamma, n)| > c\}|.$$

While

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu\{|Tx| > c\} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n_0+n-1}^{\infty} \nu(\bigcup\{s(\gamma, n) : \gamma \in \Gamma_k, |x(\gamma, n)| > c\}) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n_0+n-1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{k-n-n_0+1}}{2^k} |\{(\gamma, n) : \gamma \in \Gamma_k, |x(\gamma, n)| > c\}| \\
&= 2^{1-n_0} \mu\{|x| > c\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $T : G(\Pi_n) \rightarrow G(\Delta_n)$ is an isomorphic embedding. Moreover, $T(G(\Pi_n))$ is complemented in $G(\Delta_n)$ by a conditional expectation operator. This proves the claim. The proposition follows by applying Theorem 2. $\square$

4. ISOMORPHIC CLASSES

In this section, we show that if Γ is uncountable, then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ falls into one of five isomorphic types. The fact that these types are mutually exclusive will be dealt with in the next section. To begin with, we consider the “small” and “large” atoms separately.

Proposition 16. *Let Γ be an uncountable set, and let $w : \Gamma \rightarrow \{2^{-n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a weight function. Then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ is isomorphic to one of the following:*

1. $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma)$,
2. $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \oplus F(\Pi)$, where $\aleph_0 < |\Pi| < |\Gamma|$,
3. $F(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma_n = w^{-1}\{2^{-n}\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose m_0 such that $\sup_{n \geq m_0} |\Gamma_n| = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Gamma_n|$, and denote this value by $\mathfrak{m}$. There is a strictly increasing sequence $(m_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$, beginning with m_0 , such that $(|\Gamma_{m_k}|)_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is non-decreasing, and $\sup_{k \geq 0} |\Gamma_{m_k}| = \mathfrak{m}$. The remainder of the proof is divided into cases.

Case 1. $\mathfrak{m} \leq \aleph_0$.

In this case, one of $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_{m_0-1}$ has cardinality $|\Gamma|$. It follows easily that

$$G(\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_{m_0-1}, \emptyset, \dots) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_{m_0-1}) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma).$$

On the other hand, $\bigcup_{n=m_0}^{\infty} \Gamma_n$ is finite or countably infinite. Hence

$$G(\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \Gamma_{m_0}, \Gamma_{m_0+1}, \dots) \sim \ell^{p,\infty} \text{ or } \ell^{\infty}$$

or is finite dimensional by Theorem 6. Since $G(\Gamma_n)$ is the direct sum of the two spaces above, we see that it is isomorphic to $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma)$.

Case 2. $\aleph_0 < \mathfrak{m} < |\Gamma|$.

Let the sequence $(m_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ be as above. Define

$$\Pi_n = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } n \neq m_k \text{ for any } k \\ \Gamma_{m_k} & n = m_k \text{ for some } k. \end{cases}$$

Then $G(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$. By Lemma 14 and Proposition 15, $G(\Pi_n) \sim F(\Pi)$, where $|\Pi| = \mathfrak{m}$. On the other hand, as $|\Gamma_n| \leq |\Pi|$ for all $n \geq m_0$,

$$F(\Pi) \sim G(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow{c} G(\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \Gamma_{m_0}, \Gamma_{m_0+1}, \dots) \xrightarrow{c} G(\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \Pi, \Pi, \dots) \sim F(\Pi).$$

By Theorem 2, we see that $F(\Pi) \sim G(\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \Gamma_{m_0}, \Gamma_{m_0+1}, \dots)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) &\sim G(\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_{m_0-1}, \emptyset, \dots) \oplus G(\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, \Gamma_{m_0}, \Gamma_{m_0+1}, \dots) \\ &\sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_{m_0-1}) \oplus F(\Pi) \\ &\sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \oplus F(\Pi). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last isomorphism holds because $|\Gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_{m_0-1}| = |\Gamma|$ by the case assumption.

Case 3. $\mathfrak{m} = |\Gamma|$.

Arguing as in Case 2, we see that

$$\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma') \oplus F(\Gamma),$$

where $\Gamma' = \Gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_{m_0-1}$. But it is clear that the latter space is isomorphic to $F(\Gamma)$. $\square$

Proposition 17. *Let Γ be an uncountable set, and let $w : \Gamma \rightarrow \{2^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ be a weight function. Then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ is isomorphic to one of the following:*

1. $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma)$,
2. $E(\Pi_n)$ for some sequence $(\Pi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ with non-decreasing cardinalities such that $|\Pi_n| < \sup_m |\Pi_m| = |\Gamma|$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. For all $n \geq 0$, let $\Gamma_n = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : w(\gamma) = 2^n\}$. Let Δ be the disjoint union of the sets $\Gamma_n \times \{1, \dots, 2^n\}$, $n \geq 0$. Then $|\Delta| = |\Gamma|$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, let $s(\gamma) = \{(\gamma, l) : 1 \leq l \leq 2^n\}$. Then let $Tx = \bigvee_{n=0}^\infty \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} x(\gamma) \chi_{s(\gamma)}$ for $x \in \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$. Computing as in the proof of Lemma 13, one sees that T is an isometric embedding of $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ into $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Delta) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma)$. Also, $T(\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w))$ is complemented in $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Delta)$ by a conditional expectation. Hence $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \xrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma)$. Now suppose there exists n_0 such that $|\Gamma_{n_0}| = \sup_m |\Gamma_m| = |\Gamma|$. Then clearly

$\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ as well. Therefore, $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma)$ by Theorem 2. Otherwise, $|\Gamma_n| < \sup_m |\Gamma_m|$ for all n . Since $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) = E(\Gamma_n)$, we may apply Lemma 13 to complete the proof. $\square$

Theorem 18. *Let Γ be an uncountable set, and let $w : \Gamma \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a weight function. Then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ is isomorphic to one of the following types:*

1. $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma)$,
2. $E(\Pi_n)$ for some sequence $(\Pi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ with non-decreasing cardinalities such that $|\Pi_n| < \sup_m |\Pi_m| = |\Gamma|$ for all $n \geq 0$,
3. $F(\Gamma)$
4. $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \oplus F(\Pi)$, where $\aleph_0 < |\Pi| < |\Gamma|$,
5. $E(\Pi_n) \oplus F(\Pi)$, where $(\Pi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfies the condition in (2), and $\aleph_0 < |\Pi| < |\Gamma|$.

Proof. We may assume that w is a modified weight function. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\Gamma_n = w^{-1}\{2^n\}$. Then let $\Gamma_+ = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \Gamma_n$, and $\Gamma_- = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \Gamma_n$, and let u and v be the restrictions of w to these respective sets. If $|\Gamma_+| \leq \aleph_0$, then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_+, u) \xrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}$ or ℓ^∞ by Theorem 6. As it is easy to see, via Theorem 2, that

$$\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-, v) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-, v) \oplus \ell^{p,\infty} \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-, v) \oplus \ell^\infty,$$

it follows that $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-, v)$ in this case. By Proposition 16, $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w)$ is isomorphic to one of the types (1), (3), or (4). (Note that $|\Gamma_-| = |\Gamma|$ in this case.) Similarly, if $|\Gamma_-| \leq \aleph_0$, then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma, w) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_+, u)$ is isomorphic to one of the types (1) or (2) by Proposition 17. Finally, assume that both Γ_- and Γ_+ are uncountable. Combining Propositions 16 and 17, we arrive at one of the following possibilities.

- (i) $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-) \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_+)$,
- (ii) $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-) \oplus E(\Pi_n)$, where $|\Pi_n| \leq |\Pi_{n+1}|$, and $|\Pi_n| < \sup_m |\Pi_m| = |\Gamma_+|$ for all n ,
- (iii) $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-) \oplus F(\Pi) \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_+)$, where $\aleph_0 < |\Pi| < |\Gamma_-|$,
- (iv) $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-) \oplus F(\Pi) \oplus E(\Pi_n)$, where $(\Pi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ and Π satisfy the respective conditions in (ii) and (iii),
- (v) $F(\Gamma_-) \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_+)$,
- (vi) $F(\Gamma_-) \oplus E(\Pi_n)$, where $(\Pi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is as in (ii).

Clearly, (i) is isomorphic to $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma)$, which is case (1), and (iii) is isomorphic to case (4). If $|\Gamma_-| < |\Gamma|$, then $|\Gamma_+| = |\Gamma|$. Thus for case (ii), there exists n_0 such that $|\Gamma_-| \leq |\Pi_{n_0}|$. Hence

$$\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-) \oplus E(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow{c} E(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-) \oplus E(\Pi_n).$$

Therefore, (ii) falls under case (2). Similarly, (iv) belongs to the class (5). Also, (v) is the same as case (4), and (vi) is the same as case (5) in this situation, since $\aleph_0 < |\Gamma_-| < |\Gamma| = |\Gamma_+|$. It remains to consider the case when $\aleph_0 < |\Gamma_+| \leq |\Gamma| = |\Gamma_-|$. In this case, from the proof of Proposition 17, we see that

$$\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-) \oplus E(\Pi_n) \xrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-) \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(\Delta) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma),$$

where Δ is the disjoint union of the sets $\Pi_n \times \{1, \dots, 2^n\}$. But

$$\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-) \xrightarrow{c} \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-) \oplus E(\Pi_n)$$

as well. Hence class (ii) falls under (1). It follows that class (iv) belongs to (4). As for class (v),

$$F(\Gamma_-) \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_+) \sim F(\Gamma) \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_+) \xrightarrow{c} F(\Gamma)$$

since $|\Gamma_+| \leq |\Gamma|$. Since the reverse complemented inclusion is obvious, we see that (v) falls under (3). Finally, using the identification of (ii) above,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\Gamma_-) \oplus E(\Pi_n) &\sim F(\Gamma_-) \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma_-) \oplus E(\Pi_n) \\ &\sim F(\Gamma) \oplus \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \\ &\sim F(\Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Thus type (vi) is identified with (3). $\square$

5. UNIQUENESS

The first half of this section will be devoted to proving that the isomorphic classes in Theorem 18 are mutually exclusive. Within each of the classes (2), (4), and (5), there are different isomorphic types. In the latter half of the section, we identify precisely the different isomorphic types contained in each of these classes.

5.1. The sole objective of this part is the

Theorem 19. *The isomorphic classes listed in Theorem 18 are mutually exclusive.*

The main steps are isolated in the following lemmas. Throughout, Γ is a fixed uncountable set.

Lemma 20. $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \not\hookrightarrow E(\Pi_n) \oplus F(\Pi)$ for any sequence $(\Pi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ of pairwise disjoint sets of non-decreasing cardinalities such that $|\Pi_n| < \sup_m |\Pi_m| = |\Gamma|$, and any set Π with $\aleph_0 < |\Pi| < |\Gamma|$.

Lemma 21. $F(\Pi) \not\hookrightarrow \ell^{p,\infty}(\Delta)$ if Π, Δ are arbitrary sets such that Π is uncountable.

Lemma 22. $F(\Gamma) \not\hookrightarrow \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \oplus F(\Pi)$ if $|\Pi| < |\Gamma|$.

Assuming these lemmas, we present the proof of Theorem 19.

Proof of Theorem 19. We adopt the notation $(a) \not\hookrightarrow (b)$, where $a, b \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$, to indicate that no space of type (a) can be embedded into a space of type (b). By Lemma 20, $(1) \not\hookrightarrow (5)$. Since any space of type (2) is isomorphic to a subspace of a space of type (5), $(1) \not\hookrightarrow (2)$. Similarly, as a space of type (1) can be embedded into a space of type (4), $(4) \not\hookrightarrow (5)$. From Lemma 21, we see that $(3), (4), (5) \not\hookrightarrow (1)$. Since a space of type (2) can be embedded into a space of type (1) (see the proof of Proposition 17), it follows that $(3), (4), (5) \not\hookrightarrow (2)$ as well. By Lemma 22, $(3) \not\hookrightarrow (4)$. Finally, as a space of type (2) embeds into a space of type (1), a space of type (5) embeds into a space of type (4). Therefore, $(3) \not\hookrightarrow (5)$. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 20. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, let $e_\gamma = \chi_{\{\gamma\}}$. Assume that $T : \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \rightarrow E(\Pi_n) \oplus F(\Pi)$ is an embedding. Taking $A = (Te_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ and $B = \emptyset$ in Proposition 10, we obtain a subset Γ' of Γ such that $|\Gamma'| = |\Gamma|$, and $(Te_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma'}$ is pairwise disjoint. Now

$$|\{\gamma \in \Gamma' : \text{supp } Te_\gamma \cap \Pi \neq \emptyset\}| \leq |\Pi| < |\Gamma|.$$

Discarding those elements that belong to the set above, we may assume that $\text{supp } Te_\gamma \subseteq \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \Pi_n$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma'$. Since T is an embedding, there is a $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|Te_\gamma\| > \epsilon$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma'$. Therefore, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma'$, there is a rational $c_\gamma > 0$ such that $c_\gamma(\nu\{|Te_\gamma| > c_\gamma\})^{\frac{1}{p}} > \epsilon$, where ν is the measure associated with the space $E(\Pi_n)$. Since Γ' is uncountable, there exists $\Gamma'' \subseteq \Gamma'$, $|\Gamma''| = |\Gamma'| = |\Gamma|$, and $c > 0$ such that $c(\nu\{|Te_\gamma| > c\})^{\frac{1}{p}} > \epsilon$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma''$. In particular, $\{|Te_\gamma| > c\} \neq \emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma''$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Then $|\Pi_1 \cup \dots \cup \Pi_n| < |\Gamma|$. Using the disjointness of $(Te_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma''}$, we obtain a $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma''$ such that $\text{supp } Te_{\gamma_0} \subseteq \bigcup_{k=n+1}^\infty \Pi_k$. But then $\nu\{|Te_{\gamma_0}| > c\} \geq 2^{n+1}$. Therefore,

$$\|T\| \geq \|Te_{\gamma_0}\| \geq c(\nu\{|Te_{\gamma_0}| > c\})^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq c2^{\frac{n+1}{p}}.$$

Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary, we arrive at a contradiction. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 21. Let $e_{(\pi,n)}$ be the characteristic function of $\{(\pi,n)\} \subseteq \Pi \times \mathbb{N}$, and let $T : F(\Pi) \rightarrow \ell^{p,\infty}(\Delta)$ be an embedding. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\|Te_{(\pi,n)}\| > \epsilon \|e_{(\pi,n)}\| = \epsilon 2^{-\frac{n}{p}}$ for all $(\pi,n) \in \Pi \times \mathbb{N}$. Using the uncountability of Π , we find a strictly positive sequence $(c_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, and a sequence of subsets $(\Pi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of Π such that, for each n , c_n^p is rational,

$|\Pi_n| = |\Pi|$, and

$$c_n(\nu\{|Te_{(\pi,n)}| > c_n\})^{\frac{1}{p}} > \epsilon 2^{-\frac{n}{p}}$$

for all $\pi \in \Pi_n$. Here ν is the measure associated with the space $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Delta)$. Apply Proposition 10 with $A = \{Te_{(\pi,1)} : \pi \in \Pi_1\}$ and $B = \emptyset$ to obtain a *countably* infinite set $\Pi'_1 \subseteq \Pi_1$ such that $\{Te_{(\pi,1)} : \pi \in \Pi'_1\}$ is pairwise disjoint. Then apply the proposition again with $A = \{Te_{(\pi,2)} : \pi \in \Pi_2\}$, $B = \{Te_{(\pi,1)} : \pi \in \Pi'_1\}$ to obtain a countably infinite $\Pi'_2 \subseteq \Pi_2$ such that

$$\{Te_{(\pi,1)} : \pi \in \Pi'_1\} \cup \{Te_{(\pi,2)} : \pi \in \Pi'_2\}$$

is pairwise disjoint. Continuing inductively, we obtain, for each n , a countably infinite $\Pi'_n \subseteq \Pi_n$ such that $\bigcup_n \{Te_{(\pi,n)} : \pi \in \Pi'_n\}$ is pairwise disjoint. For all $\pi \in \Pi'_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_{(\pi,n)} = \{|Te_{(\pi,n)}| > c_n\}$. Then $\{A_{(\pi,n)} : \pi \in \Pi'_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a collection of pairwise disjoint subsets of Δ such that $\nu(A_{(\pi,n)}) > \epsilon^p c_n^{-p} 2^{-n}$. For the remainder of the proof, we consider two cases.

Case 1. $\inf c_n > 0$.

Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\inf c_n > \delta$. For all n , fix a $\pi_n \in \Pi'_n$. As ν is just the counting measure on Δ , $\nu(A_{(\pi_n,n)}) \geq 1$ for all n . Therefore, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{c_n} Te_{(\pi_n,n)} \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k \chi_{A_{(\pi_n,n)}} \right\| \geq k^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Consequently,

$$k^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|T\| \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{c_n} e_{(\pi_n,n)} \right\| \leq \frac{\|T\|}{\delta} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k e_{(\pi_n,n)} \right\| \leq \frac{\|T\|}{\delta},$$

a contradiction.

Case 2. $\inf c_n = 0$.

Choose a strictly increasing sequence $(n_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ such that $c_{n_i} > 2c_{n_{i+1}}$ for all i . Recall the fact that c_n^p is rational for all n . Hence, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the numbers $k_i = c_{n_i}^p 2^{n_i} m$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, are all integers. For $1 \leq i \leq l$, let F_i be a subset of Π'_{n_i} with $|F_i| = k_i$, and

let $x = \sum_{i=1}^l c_{n_i}^{-1} \sum_{\pi \in F_i} e_{(\pi, n_i)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tx\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{c_{n_i}} \sum_{\pi \in F_i} T e_{(\pi, n_i)} \right\| \\
&\geq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{\pi \in F_i} \chi_{A_{(\pi, n_i)}} \right\| \\
&\geq \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{\pi \in F_i} \nu(A_{(\pi, n_i)}) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\geq \epsilon(ml)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let c be a positive number. If $c \geq 1/c_{n_l}$, then $\{|x| > c\} = \emptyset$. If $c_{n_j}^{-1} \leq c < c_{n_{j+1}}^{-1}$ for some $1 \leq j < l$, then

$$\mu\{|x| > c\} = \sum_{i=j+1}^l \frac{k_i}{2^{n_i}},$$

where μ is the measure associated with $F(\Pi)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\mu\{|x| > c\})^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \frac{1}{c_{n_{j+1}}} \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^l \frac{k_i}{2^{n_i}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= \frac{m^{\frac{1}{p}}}{c_{n_{j+1}}} \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^l c_{n_i}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= m^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c_{n_i}}{c_{n_{j+1}}} \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq m^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^{i-j-1}} \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq 2m^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The same computation holds when $c < 1/c_{n_1}$ if we replace j by 0. Hence $\|x\| \leq 2m^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Thus

$$\epsilon(ml)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|Tx\| \leq \|T\| \|x\| \leq \|T\| 2m^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We have arrived at yet another contradiction since $l \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 22. As before, let $e_{(\gamma, n)}$ be the characteristic function of $\{(\gamma, n)\} \subseteq \Gamma \times \mathbb{N}$. Similiarly define $e_{(\pi, n)}$ for $(\pi, n) \in \Pi \times \mathbb{N}$. Suppose there is an embedding $T : F(\Gamma) \rightarrow \ell^{p, \infty}(\Gamma) \oplus F(\Pi)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

let

$$A_n = \{Te_{(\gamma,n)} : (\gamma, n) \in \Gamma \times \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Also, let $B = \{e_{(\pi,n)} : (\pi, n) \in \Pi \times \mathbb{N}\}$. Apply Proposition 10 to obtain sets $\Gamma_n \subseteq \Gamma$, such that $|\Gamma_n| = |\Gamma|$, and $\{Te_{(\gamma,n)} : \gamma \in \Gamma_n\} \cup B$ is pairwise disjoint for each n . Then $Te_{(\gamma,n)} \in \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$. A contradiction may now be derived as in the proof of Lemma 21. $\square$

5.2. In this last part, we derive the conditions which allow us to distinguish between isomorphically distinct spaces that lie in the same class (2), (4) or (5). It was observed very early on (Proposition 1) that scaling all atoms by factors bounded away from 0 and ∞ does not alter the isomorphic class of the space. We will see that within the same type (2), (4), or (5), this is all one can do to stay in the same isomorphic class.

Once again, fix an uncountable set Γ . A sequence of sets $(\Pi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ will be called *admissible* if it satisfies the condition in (2) of Theorem 18. We begin by considering type (5) spaces.

Theorem 23. *Let $(\Pi_n)_{n=0}^\infty, (\Delta_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be admissible sequences of sets, and let Π, Δ , be sets such that $\aleph_0 < |\Pi|, |\Delta| < |\Gamma|$. Then $E(\Pi_n) \oplus F(\Pi) \sim E(\Delta_n) \oplus F(\Delta)$ if and only if $|\Pi| = |\Delta|$, and there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$|\Pi_n| \leq |\Delta_{n+k}| \leq |\Pi_{n+2k}| \quad (4)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. Clearly $F(\Pi) \sim F(\Delta)$ if $|\Pi| = |\Delta|$. Now assume the existence of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\Pi_n| \leq |\Delta_{n+k}|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Choose a map $j : \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \Pi_n \rightarrow \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \Delta_n$ so that, for each n , $j|_{\Pi_n}$ maps Π_n injectively into Δ_{n+k} . Define $T : E(\Pi_n) \rightarrow E(\Delta_n)$ by

$$Tx = \bigvee \{x(\pi)e_{j(\pi)} : \pi \in \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \Pi_n\}.$$

Using calculations similar to those which appeared before, one sees that T maps $E(\Pi_n)$ isomorphically onto a complemented subspace of $E(\Delta_n)$. Therefore, condition (4) yields the two way embedding

$$E(\Pi_n) \xhookrightarrow{c} E(\Delta_n) \xhookrightarrow{c} E(\Pi_n).$$

Consequently, $E(\Pi_n) \sim E(\Delta_n)$ by Theorem 2. This proves the “if” part.

Conversely, assume that $E(\Pi_n) \oplus F(\Pi) \sim E(\Delta_n) \oplus F(\Delta)$. In particular, $F(\Delta) \hookrightarrow E(\Pi_n) \oplus F(\Pi) \hookrightarrow \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \oplus F(\Pi)$. It follows from

Lemma 22 that $|\Delta| \leq |\Pi|$. By symmetry, $|\Pi| = |\Delta|$. Now

$$|\Pi| = |\Delta| < |\Gamma| = \sup_m |\Delta_m| = \sup_m |\Pi_m|.$$

Thus there exists n_0 such that $|\Pi_{n_0}|, |\Delta_{n_0}| > |\Pi| = |\Delta|$. Since $E(\Pi_n) \sim E(\Pi_{n_0+n})$, and $E(\Delta_n) \sim E(\Delta_{n_0+n})$, we may assume that $|\Pi_0|, |\Delta_0| > |\Pi| = |\Delta|$. Now suppose that for no $k \in \mathbb{N}$ does $|\Pi_n| \leq |\Delta_{n+k}|$ hold for all n . Then there is a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ in $\mathbb{N}$ such that $|\Pi_{n_k}| > \max\{|\Pi_{n_{k-1}}|, |\Delta_{n_k+k}|\}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $T : E(\Pi_n) \rightarrow E(\Delta_n) \oplus F(\Delta)$ be an embedding, and let $e_\pi = \chi_{\{\pi\}}$ for all $\pi \in \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \Pi_n$. Similarly define $e_{(\delta,n)}$ for $(\delta,n) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{N}$, and e_δ for $\delta \in \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Delta_n$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A = \{Te_\gamma : \gamma \in \Pi_{n_{k+1}}\}$, and

$$B = \{e_{(\delta,n)} : (\delta,n) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{Te_\pi : \pi \in \bigcup_{i=1}^k \Pi_{n_i}\} \cup \{e_\delta : \delta \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_{k+1}+k+1} \Delta_j\}.$$

Then

$$|B| = |\Delta| + \sum_{i=1}^k |\Pi_{n_i}| + \sum_{j=1}^{n_{k+1}+k+1} |\Delta_j| < |\Pi_{n_{k+1}}| = |A|.$$

Using Proposition 10, one can choose $\Pi'_{k+1} \subseteq \Pi_{n_{k+1}}$ such that $|\Pi'_{k+1}| = |\Pi_{n_{k+1}}|$, and $B \cup \{Te_\pi : \pi \in \Pi'_{k+1}\}$ is pairwise disjoint. Then $\{Te_\pi : \pi \in \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Pi'_{k+1}\}$ is a pairwise disjoint subset of $E(\Delta_n)$ such that $\text{supp } Te_\pi \subseteq \bigcup_{j=n_{k+1}+k+2}^\infty \Delta_j$ whenever $\pi \in \Pi'_{k+1}$. Let ν be the measure associated with the space $E(\Delta_n)$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 21, one obtains $\epsilon > 0$, and, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_{k+1} > 0$, and an infinite subset Π''_{k+1} of Π'_{k+1} , such that

$$c_{k+1}(\nu\{|Te_\pi| > c_{k+1}\})^{\frac{1}{p}} > \epsilon \|e_\pi\| = \epsilon 2^{\frac{n_{k+1}}{p}}$$

for all $\pi \in \Pi''_{k+1}$. If $\inf c_{k+1} \neq 0$, choose $\eta > 0$ such that $\inf c_{k+1} > \eta$. Then, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and all $\pi \in \Pi''_{k+1}$,

$$\{|Te_\pi| > \eta\} \supseteq \{|Te_\pi| > c_{k+1}\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Since the first set is contained in $\bigcup_{j=n_{k+1}+k+2}^\infty \Delta_j$, we see that $\nu\{|Te_\pi| > \eta\} \geq 2^{n_{k+1}+k+2}$. Therefore,

$$2^{\frac{n_{k+1}}{p}} \|T\| = \|T\| \|e_\pi\| \geq \|Te_\pi\| \geq \eta 2^{\frac{n_{k+1}+k+2}{p}}.$$

This is a contradiction since k is arbitrary. If $\inf c_{k+1} = 0$, we argue as in the corresponding Case 2 in the proof of Lemma 21 to obtain a contradiction. The only difference in the present situation is that m is to be chosen so that the numbers $2^{-n_{k_i}} c_{k_i}^p m$ are integers. With this, we have shown that $E(\Pi_n) \not\hookrightarrow E(\Delta_n) \oplus F(\Delta)$ if there is no k such

that $|\Pi_n| \leq |\Delta_{n+k}|$ for all n . It follows easily that condition (4) holds whenever $E(\Pi_n) \oplus F(\Pi)$ and $E(\Delta_n) \oplus F(\Delta)$ are isomorphic. $\square$

Contained in the above proof is the

Theorem 24. *Let $(\Pi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ and $(\Delta_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be admissible sequences of sets. Then $E(\Pi_n)$ and $E(\Delta_n)$ are isomorphic if and only if condition (4) of Theorem 23 holds.*

It remains to examine type (4) spaces.

Theorem 25. *Let Π, Δ be sets such that $\aleph_0 < |\Pi|, |\Delta| < |\Gamma|$. Then $\ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \oplus F(\Pi) \sim \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \oplus F(\Delta)$ if and only if $|\Pi| = |\Delta|$.*

Proof. The “if” part is trivial. Suppose $|\Pi| > |\Delta|$, and $T : F(\Pi) \rightarrow \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma) \oplus F(\Delta)$ is an embedding. Let $e_{(\pi,n)} = \chi_{\{(\pi,n)\}}$ for all $(\pi, n) \in \Pi \times \mathbb{N}$. Similarly for $e_{(\delta,n)}$, $(\delta, n) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{N}$. Let $A = \{Te_{(\pi,1)} : \pi \in \Pi\}$ and let $B = \{e_{(\delta,n)} : (\delta, n) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{N}\}$. Apply Proposition 10 to obtain a countably infinite $\Pi_1 \subseteq \Pi$ such that $\{Te_{(\pi,1)} : \pi \in \Pi_1\} \cup B$ is pairwise disjoint. Continue inductively as in the proof of Lemma 21 to obtain a sequence $(\Pi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of countably infinite subsets of Π such that

$$\{Te_{(\pi,n)} : \pi \in \Pi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup B$$

is pairwise disjoint. In particular, $Te_{(\pi,n)} \in \ell^{p,\infty}(\Gamma)$ for all $\pi \in \Pi_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We may now proceed as in the proof of Lemma 21 to obtain a contradiction. $\square$

Remark. The only remaining question in the isomorphic classification of atomic weak L^p spaces is whether the spaces $\ell^{p,\infty}$ and $\ell^{r,\infty}$ are isomorphic if $1 < p \neq r < \infty$. The techniques of the present paper, which distinguish Banach spaces by showing that one does not embed into the other, cannot be applied to this question. This is because of the simple observation that every space $\ell^{p,\infty}$ contains an isomorphic copy of ℓ^∞ , and is also isomorphic to a subspace of ℓ^∞ . The author has been able to obtain the partial result that $\ell^{p,\infty}$ and $\ell^{r,\infty}$ are not isomorphic if $1 < p < r < \infty$ and $p \leq 2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] DENNY H. LEUNG, $\ell^{p,\infty}$ has a complemented subspace isomorphic to ℓ^2 , Rocky Mountain J. Math. **22** (1992), 943–952.
- [2] DENNY H. LEUNG, Embedding $\ell^{p,\infty}$ into $L^{p,\infty}[0, 1]$ complementably, Bull. London Math. Soc. **23** (1991), 583–586.
- [3] DENNY H. LEUNG, Isomorphism of certain weak L^p spaces, Studia Math. **104** (1993), 151–160.
- [4] JORAM LINDENSTRAUSS AND LIOR TZAFRIRI, “Classical Banach Spaces I, Sequence Spaces”, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.

- [5] JORAM LINDENSTRAUSS AND LIOR TZAFRIRI, “Classical Banach Spaces II, Function Spaces”, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [6] HEINRICH P. LOTZ, *Weak* convergence in the dual of weak L^p* , Preprint.
- [7] H. L. ROYDEN, “Real Analysis”, 2nd edition, Macmillan, New York, 1968.
- [8] HELMUT H. SCHAEFER, “Banach Lattices and Positive Operators”, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [9] ZBIGNIEW SEMADENI, “Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions I”, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, SINGAPORE 0511

E-mail address: `matlhh@leonis.nus.sg`